

Committee Name:	Records and Tabulation		
Committee Chair:	MJ Caswell	Vice Chair:	N/A
Minutes recorded by:	Cheryl Gettelfinger	Date/time of meeting:	March 9, 2025, 4:00 PDT

Motions Passed: None

Number of committee members present: 8	Absent: 0	Ex-Officio:3
Committee members present (list all, including chair and vice chair): MJ Caswell, Jeanne Seidler, Cassie Giarrusso, Barb Church, Walt Reid, Ed Tsuzuki, Jerry Treiman and Cheryl Gettelfinger. Ex-Officio Present: Mary Beth Windrath and Onshalee Promchimar. Executive Committee Present: Ed Coates and Julie Dussliere.		
Committee Members Absent: None		

Minutes

The meeting was called to order at 4:00 PM PDT.

1. MJ opened the meeting speaking about how she wanted to address two items: a) specific software upgrade recommendations and b) updating how-to and other documents. Therefore, she asked the committee to commit to one of two sub-committees: Software or Documents. After discussion, it was decided that Jeanne Seidler, Ed Tsuzuki, Jerry Treiman and Mary Beth Windrath would be on the Software Sub-committee and MJ, Cassie Giarrusso, Cheryl Gettelfinger, Walt Reid and Barb Church would serve on the Documents Sub-committee. She will be sending out a doodle poll this coming week to arrange the first meetings to begin work as soon as possible. The goal is to have substantial work completed before the annual meeting.
2. The next discussion was treatment of College Club Swimmers (CCS) with a bridge membership in the event that they compete in a USMS sanctioned meet because of the existence of two meets databases. Jeanne noted that all College Club Swimmers will show as LMSC 58. She also raised the question of protecting personal information such as birth dates if we give exported results directly to CCS individuals. Onshalee noted that a meet director or top ten officer for the host LMSC can either send results to Onshalee or they can export the CCS meet results export (no other data) to the CCS swimmer.
3. There was discussion regarding codifying how points are awarded for All-Star Winners. It was clarified that points are based on points scored in competition with the winner given the bonus (e.g. first place 11, then 9, 8, etc.) and not simply one point less for second place. There is no need to add any more guidelines because the scoring is listed on the website; however, it should be added to policy and procedures. The second part of the All-Star discussion was the gifts for the current year. The preferred gifts were those not involving sizing such as gear. Another alternative to gear is the recommendation for a towel.
4. There was discussion regarding two tie-breakers in the same weekend at different meets as well as someone breaking a record in the same meet with a different event such as a split from a relay that was faster than the original individual event time. It was explained that the current policy is to show those record breakers in the record progression as the time zone differences are something uncontrolled by the swimmer. Therefore, swimmers in time zones farther east won't be shown as faster in regard to progression; however, the fastest swimmer will still be the swimmer holding the record. Mary Beth also explained that if a swimmer broke a record at the same meet and was slower (didn't tie) the faster swimmer, that person would not show up in the progression.
5. The next and last topic was regarding proposed rule changes which are due by 6/10 and therefore need to be wrapped up by the beginning of June. Many on the committee want to line up our bulkhead-pool-measurement requirements with USA Swimming in which bulkhead pools don't have to be measured UNLESS there's a record. That record-setting lane would require a measurement afterwards. Although most of the committee want to line up with AQUA, USA and other organizations, there is a problem in that sometimes swimmers don't know when

they break a record. If that lane isn't measured before they leave that meet, it's not eligible to be a record. The current scenario of measuring before the meet was the result of hosts discovering that the pool was too short AFTER the meet. The measurement of the two outside plus one inside lane after each session ensures that the record can count although it does add to the workload for the meet director. Also, if there is a large meet and there are many broken records in different lanes, there could be more measuring than just the three required lanes. Any measurement requirement change wouldn't affect top ten times but adoption of only measuring after record-setting lane(s) afterwards would likely result in fewer records. MJ will consult with the Chair of Rules seeking a well-written rationale and come back to the committee with some wording.

The meeting adjourned at 5:10 PM PDT.
